

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/573,873	03/29/2006	Richard Thomas Entwistle	6495-0155WOUS	7090	
MCCORMICE	7590 12/30/200 C. PAULDING & HUB	EXAM	EXAMINER		
CITY PLACE	Í	FRISTOE JR, JOHN K			
185 ASYLUM HARTFORD.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3753		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/30/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/573,873	ENTWISTLE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JOHN K. FRISTOE JR	3753		

	JOHN K. FRISTOE JR	3753					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 04 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date thave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of valued to five 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sest forth in (b) above, if checked. Any pely received by the Office are may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the second process.	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be They raise new issues that would require further core They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE:	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co.	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		- I price in the interior (
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the				
7. If or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s), a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	planation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been conside because:		•					
See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:							

/John K. Fristoe Jr./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3753

Application No.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants first argue that Tan does not disclose a suction nozzle but rather a restricted hole. It is the examiner's opinion that there is no structural difference between Applicants' claimed suction nozzle and the hole of Tan. Applicants then argue that suction nozzle of Tan is not in the flow path, the examiner disagrees. The suction nozzle of (36, 38, 40) is within the flow path (16). Applicants then argue that the suction nozzle of Tan is not directed toward the outlet, the examiner disagrees. The suction nozzle of Tan (36, 38, 40) is directed towards the outlet (the replice element 16). Applicants also argue that Tan can not be modified with Kubiak to add a slot to the pipe, the examiner disagrees. Modifying flow paths to change the dimensions or shapes of a flow path is well known in the art.